

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/035,893

Applicant(s)

DJELOUAH, SALAH

Examiner

Christopher Upton

Art Unit

1724

All Participants:

(1) Christopher Upton.

(2) Anthony Niewyk.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 November 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

9, 12

Prior art documents discussed:

Mourlon

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

CHRISTOPHER UPTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to amend claim 9 to recite that the agitator is between the inlet and the outlet, as shown in the drawings, to patentably distinguish over Moulon, which discloses the agitator as being below the outlet; and to amend claim 12 to provide antecedent basis for the pump of claim 13..